arXiv:cond-mat/9812162v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 9 Dec 1998

Quasi-one-dimensional charge density wave in electromagnetic field arbitrarily oriented to conducting chains: generalized Fröhlich relations

Alexander S. Rozhavsky$^{(1,2,a)}$, Yurij V. Pershin$^{(1,2)}$ and Igor A. Romanovsky$^{(1,3)}$

^{1}*B.I.Verkin Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering,*
47 Lenin Avenue 310164 Kharkov, Ukraine
(permanent address)

^{2}*Grenoble High Magnetic Field Laboratory,*
Max-Planck-Institut für Festkörperforschung and CNRS,
BP 166, F-38042, Grenoble, Cedex 9, France

^{3}*Physical Department, Kharkov State University,*
4 Svobody Square 310077 Kharkov, Ukraine

Abstract

We derive equations for the collective CDW-current transverse conducting chains in a quasi-one-dimensional CDW-conductor. Generalized Fröhlich relations between the transverse currents and phase gradients are due to the polarization corrections to the $1+1$ chiral anomaly Lagrangean. The CDW Hall constant R_{CDW} is calculated, $R_{CDW} \sim T_C^2/I_{CDW}$, where T_C is the critical temperature of the Peierls transition, and I_{CDW} is the nonlinear CDW current in the direction parallel to the conducting chains.

PACS numbers: 71.45Lr, 72.15Nj, 11.30Rd

$^{a)}$ Corresponding author. E-mail: rozhavsky@ilt.kharkov.ua

The Quasi-One-Dimensional (Q1D) Charge Density Wave (CDW) conductors such as $NbSe_3$, TaS_3, $K_{0,3}MoO_3$ etc. (see for instance, Ref.[1]) are characterized by a strongly anisotropic quasiparticle spectra. As a result, their unusual transport properties are mostly pronounced in the direction parallel to the conducting chains. The theoretical studies of the CDW-electrodynamics were mainly focused on the one-dimensional aspect. The response of a 1D CDW is described by the well-known Fröhlich relations [1]:

$$j_x = -\frac{e}{\pi}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}n_f \qquad (1)$$

$$\rho = \frac{e}{\pi}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x}n_f$$

where j_x is the collective current density along the direction of the chains, ρ is the charge density fluctuation, n_f is the density of chains and φ is the CDW-variable, the phase of the Peierls-Fröhlich order parameter $\Delta exp(i\varphi)$. The amplitude Δ is equal to the gap width in the single-particle spectrum.

Experimental investigations of the transverse CDW transport, and in particular of the Hall effect [2, 3], have demonstrated that the 3D-effects are also strongly affected by the nonlinear CDW transport current I_{CDW} directed along the chains. It was found in Ref. [3] that the Hall constant R_{CDW} is proportional to I^{-1}_{CDW} and sharply decreases in electric fields above the threshold E_T. This fact is not yet explained within the microscopic theory. To describe properly the 3D electrodynamics of CDW, we need the generalized Fröhlich equations for all the three components of the collective current $\vec{I}_{CDW}$. This problem is solved in the present paper, and the dependence of R_{CDW} on I_{CDW} is obtained.

The description of a Q1D CDW is based on the electron-lattice Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2M}\sum_{\vec{n}_\nu}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2_{\vec{n}_\nu}} + \sum_{\vec{n}_\nu}K_\nu\left(u_{\vec{n}_\nu} - u_{\vec{n}_\nu+\vec{1}}\right)^2 +$$

$$\sum_{\vec{n}_\nu}\left(t_\nu + t_{1\nu}\left(u_{\vec{n}_\nu} - u_{\vec{n}_\nu+\vec{1}}\right)\right)\left(a^+_{\vec{n}_\nu,s}a_{\vec{n}_\nu+\vec{1},s} + h.c.\right) \qquad (2)$$

where $u_{\vec{n}}$ is the lattice displacement, $\vec{n}$ numerates lattice sites, $\nu = x, y, z$, M is the ion mass, K_ν are the lattice elasticity constants, t_ν is the electron hopping integral, t_1 is the electron-lattice coupling, $a^+_{\vec{n}s}$ and $a_{\vec{n}s}$ are the creation and annihilation operators of an electron with spin s at the site $\vec{n}$.

The Q1D approximation is formulated as follows:

$$u_{n_x} = u_0 cos(2k_F n_x b_x + \varphi)$$

$$a_{\vec{n}s} = \Psi_{Rs}exp(ik_F n_x b_x) + \Psi_{Ls}exp(-ik_F n_x b_x) \qquad (3)$$

$$u_{\vec{n}_{y,z}} - u_{\vec{n}+\vec{1}_{y,z}} \simeq \frac{\partial u_{\vec{n}}}{\partial y(z)}b_{y,z}$$

$$t_{1y,z} = 0$$

For our purposes, it is convenient to formulate the theory in the Lagrange formalism.

After the standard redesignations (see e.g. [4]):

$$b_x t_0 = \hbar V_F, \quad 2t_{1x}u_0/b_x = \Delta, \quad K_x b_x sin^2(b_x k_F)/16t_{1x}^2 = \frac{1}{g^2},$$

the Peierls-Fröhlich Lagrangean takes the form ($\hbar = c = 1$):

$$\mathcal{L} = \varphi\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2 V_F}\partial_t^2 - \frac{U_y^2}{V_F}\partial_y^2 - \frac{U_z^2}{V_F}\partial_z^2\right)\varphi - \frac{\Delta^2}{2g^2} + \bar{\psi}\{i\sigma_2(\partial_t + ie\Phi + it_y ch(b_y(\partial_y - ieA_y)) +$$

$$it_z ch(b_z(\partial_z - ieA_z))) - \sigma_1 V_F(\partial_x - ieA_x) - \Delta exp(-i\sigma_3\varphi)\}\psi = \mathcal{L}^{ph} + \bar{\psi}L\psi \qquad (4)$$

where the electromagnetic field is introduced in a gauge-invariant form. Here $\alpha^2 = \frac{\Delta}{V_F^2 M} << 1$ is the adiabatic parameter of the Peierls-Fröhlich theory [4], $U_{y,z}$ are the transverse phonon velocities, Φ and A_ν are the scalar and vector potentials, $\psi^+ = (\psi_R^+, \psi_L^+)$, $\bar{\psi} = \psi^+\sigma_2$ and σ_ν - are the Pauli matrices. Note that the approximation (3) which leads to Eq. (4) is well defined only when $t_{y,z} << \Delta$.

The CDW currents are:

$$j_\nu = c\frac{\delta F}{\delta A_\nu} \qquad (5)$$

$$\rho = -\frac{\delta F}{\delta \Phi}$$

where F is the free energy:

$$F = -T\ln\int D\Delta D\varphi D\bar{\psi}D\psi exp\left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{T}} d\tau \int d\vec{r}\mathcal{L}_E\right) =$$

$$-T\ln\int D\Delta D\varphi Dexp\left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{T}} d\tau \int d\vec{r}\mathcal{L}_E^{ph} + Tr\ \ln L_E\right) \qquad (6)$$

Here $\mathcal{L}_E$ is the Euclidean Lagrangean, $\tau = -it$, T is the temperature and

$$Tr\hat{O} = 2tr\int d\vec{r}d\tau < \vec{r}\tau|\hat{O}|\vec{r}\tau > \quad , \qquad (7)$$

where tr denotes trace over the matrix indices, and the multiplier 2 results from the summation over the electronic spins.

To calculate $Tr\ \ln L_E$, we perform the chiral rotation:

$$\psi \to exp(i\frac{\sigma_3}{2}\varphi)\tilde{\psi} \ , \qquad (8)$$

$$\bar{\psi} \to \bar{\tilde{\psi}}exp(i\frac{\sigma_3}{2}\varphi) \ .$$

Then:

$$exp(Tr\ \ln L_E) = J\{\varphi\}exp(Tr\ \ln \tilde{L}_E) \qquad (9)$$

where $J\{\varphi\}$ is the functional Jacobian and the Lagrangean $\tilde{L}_E$ is:

$$\tilde{L}_E = \{-\sigma_2[\partial\tau + \frac{V_F}{2}\partial_x\varphi + e\Phi + t_y ch(b_y(\partial_y - ieA_y)) + t_z ch(b_z(\partial_z - ieA_z))] -$$

$$\sigma_1 V_F[\partial_x - \frac{1}{2V_F}\partial_\tau\varphi - ieA_x - \frac{t_y b_y}{2V_F}\partial_y\varphi sh(b_y(\partial_y - ieAy)) - \frac{t_z b_z}{2V_F}\partial_z\varphi sh(b_z(\partial_z - ieAz))] - \Delta\}, \tag{10}$$

at $b_y\partial_y\varphi, b_z\partial_z\varphi << 1$.

We calculate the Jacobian following the Fujikawa scheme [5]. According to this:

$$J^{-1}\{\varphi\} = exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\int d\vec{r}d\tau\varphi Tr\chi^*\sigma_3\chi\right) \tag{11}$$

where χ stands for the complete set of the asymptotic eigenfunctions of the Lagrangean $\tilde{L_E}$ taken in the ultraviolet limit, i.e. at the energies far exceeding $e\phi$, eA_xV_F, $t_{y,z}$, and Δ:

$$\chi = exp\left(i(k_x x + \omega\tau + k_y y + k_z z)\right) \tag{12}$$

We have:

$$Tr(\chi^*\sigma_3\chi) = 2\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}dk_x\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}d\omega\int\limits_{-\pi/b_y}^{\pi/b_y}dk_y\int\limits_{-\pi/b_z}^{\pi/b_z}dk_z\chi^* exp\left(-\frac{\tilde{L_E}\sigma_3\tilde{L_E}\sigma_3}{N^2}\right)\chi =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{2}{b_yb_z}\Big\{-\frac{1}{2V_F\pi}\partial^2_\tau\varphi - \frac{V_F}{2\pi}\partial^2_x\varphi - \frac{e}{\pi}E_x + \frac{V_y^2}{2\pi V_F}\partial^2_y\varphi + \frac{V_z^2}{2\pi V_F}\partial^2_z\varphi\Big\} - \\
&\frac{eb_y^2t_y}{2V_F} < ch(b_y(\partial_y - ieA_y)) > \left(E_y - \frac{1}{2e}\partial^2_{xy}\varphi\right)\partial_y\varphi - (y\to z) - \\
&ieb_yt_y < sh(b_y(\partial_y - ieA_y)) > \left(H_z + \frac{1}{2V_Fe}\partial^2_{y\tau}\varphi\right) - (y\to z)
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Here

$$<\hat{f}> = \int dk_xdk_ydk_zd\omega\chi^*\hat{f}\chi, \tag{14}$$

and E_ν, H_ν are electric and magnetic fields. It is readily seen, that:

$$< ch(b_\nu(\partial_\nu - ieA_\nu)) > = < sh(b_\nu(\partial_\nu - ieA_\nu)) > = 0 \tag{15}$$

and the Jacobian takes the form:

$$J\{\varphi\} = exp\left(-\int d\vec{r}d\tau l\{\varphi\}\right) \tag{16}$$

where

$$l\{\varphi\} = \frac{1}{b_yb_z}\Big\{\frac{1}{4V_F\pi}(\partial_\tau\varphi)^2 + \frac{V_F}{4\pi}(\partial_x\varphi)^2 - \frac{V_y^2}{4\pi V_F}(\partial_y\varphi)^2 - \frac{V_z}{4\pi V_F}(\partial_z\varphi)^2 - \frac{e}{\pi}E_x\varphi\Big\} \quad , \tag{17}$$

and $V_{y,z} = b_{y,z}t_{y,z}$.

In a $1D$ CDW ($V_y = V_z = 0$), the Lagrangean (17) coincides with the one for the chiral anomaly in $1+1$ massless quantum field theory (see e.g. [6]). The connection between the $1D$ CDW Lagrangean and the chiral anomaly phenomenon was demonstrated in Refs. [7, 8]. The Fröhlich relations (1) evidently follow from the Lagrangean (17).

So, in a Q1D CDW, the chiral anomaly mechanism gives the wrong sign only in the transverse dispersion in Eq. (17). The normal CDW-dispersion is restored due to the phonon terms in the Lagrangean (4) [9]. A modification of Fröhlich relations arises due to the polarization terms in the free energy hidden in $Tr\ \ln \tilde{L}_E$ (Eq. (9)).

In Ref. [10] the chiral anomaly was studied in a formally similiar system: the Q1D spin density wave. It was claimed in [10] that, under the conditions of a quantum Hall effect, the chiral anomaly produces additional terms linear in t_y in Eqs.(1). In this paper, we study the opposite limit of classically "weak" magnetic fields (Eq. (15)) when the terms linear in $t_{y,z}$ turn to zero.

Consider $Tr\ \ln \tilde{L}_E$ at $|\vec{E}|, |\vec{H}| << \Delta^2/eV_F$ and $\vec{\partial}\varphi << \Delta/V_F$, $\partial_\tau \varphi << \Delta$. The straightforward perturbation expansion (see e.g. [4]) gives:

$$Tr\ \ln \tilde{L}_E = \frac{1}{2} Tr\ \ln \tilde{L}_E \sigma_3 \tilde{L}_E \sigma_3 \cong$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \ln \hat{K}_0 - \frac{1}{2} \int d\vec{r} d\tau \{ \kappa_{xx} \tilde{E}_x^2 + \kappa_{yy} \tilde{E}_y^2 + \kappa_{zz} \tilde{E}_z^2 + \mu_{zz} \tilde{H}_z^2 + \mu_{yy} \tilde{H}_y^2 \} \tag{18}$$

Here

$$\hat{K}_0 = -\partial_\tau^2 - V_F^2 \partial_x^2 + \Delta^2, \tag{19}$$

and

$$\kappa_{xx} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \frac{\omega_p^2}{\Delta^2}, \quad \kappa_{yy,(zz)} = \kappa_{xx} \left(\frac{t_{y,z}}{\epsilon_F} \right)^2 << \kappa_{xx} \quad , \tag{20}$$

$$\mu_{yy} \sim \left(\frac{V_F}{c} \right)^2 \kappa_{zz}, \quad \mu_{zz} \sim \left(\frac{V_F}{c} \right)^2 \kappa_{yy}$$

are the diagonal components of the tensor of the dielectric and magnetic susceptibilities, $\omega_p = (8e^2 V_F / \Delta^2 b_y b_z)^{1/2}$ is the plasma frequency, $\epsilon_F = V_F / b_x$ is the Fermi energy, and:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{E}_x &= E_x - \frac{1}{2V_F e} \partial_\tau^2 \varphi - \frac{V_F}{2e} \partial_x^2 \varphi \\
\tilde{E}_y &= E_y - \frac{V_F}{2e} \partial_{x,y}^2 \varphi \\
\tilde{E}_z &= E_z - \frac{V_F}{2e} \partial_{xz}^2 \varphi \\
\tilde{H}_y &= H_y + \frac{i}{2V_F e} \partial_{\tau z}^2 \varphi \\
\tilde{H}_z &= H_z + \frac{i}{2V_F e} \partial_{\tau y}^2 \varphi
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Finally, we substitute Eqs. (18) and (16) into the Eq. (6) and calculating the variation of the free energy functional with respect to the vector and scalar potentials to obtain currents in accordance with Eq.(5). We get in real time:

$$j_x = -\frac{e}{\pi b_y b_z}\partial_t\varphi - \frac{\kappa_{xx}V_F}{2e}\partial_t(\partial_x^2\varphi - \frac{1}{V_F^2}\partial_t^2\varphi - \frac{V_y^2}{V_F^2}\partial_y^2\varphi - \frac{V_z^2}{V_F^2}\partial_z^2\varphi) + \frac{\kappa_{yy}}{2e}V_F\partial^3_{yyt}\varphi + \frac{\kappa_{zz}}{2e}V_F\partial^3_{zzt}\varphi, \tag{22}$$

$$\rho = \frac{e}{\pi b_y b_z}\partial_x\varphi - \frac{\kappa_{xx}V_F}{2e}\partial_x(\partial_x^2\varphi - \frac{1}{V_F^2}\partial_t^2\varphi - \frac{V_y^2}{V_F^2}\partial_y^2\varphi - \frac{V_z^2}{V_F^2}\partial_z^2\varphi) + \frac{\kappa_{yy}}{2e}V_F\partial^3_{xyy}\varphi + \frac{\kappa_{zz}}{2e}V_F\partial^3_{xzz}\varphi, \tag{23}$$

$$j_y = -\frac{\kappa_{yy}}{e}V_F\partial^3_{xyt}\varphi, \tag{24}$$

$$j_z = -\frac{\kappa_{zz}}{e}V_F\partial^3_{xzt}\varphi. \tag{25}$$

It is evident that Eqs. (22) - (25) automatically satisfy the condition $\partial_t\rho + div\vec{j} = 0$.

It is easy to check from the equation of motion:

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta\varphi}\Big\{\int d\vec{r}dt[\mathcal{L}^{ph} + l\{\varphi\}] + Tr\ \ln\tilde{L}\Big\} = 0 \tag{26}$$

that the polarization correction to Eq. (26), as well as to j_x (22) and ρ (23), can be safely neglected in electric fields $|\vec{E}| << e^2/4\pi\kappa_{xx}\Delta^2$. As actually $\kappa_{xx} \simeq 1$, this inequality always holds in experiment.

Hence, the incommensurate Q1D CDW is described by the equation of motion:

$$\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\partial_t^2\varphi - \frac{V_F^2}{2}\partial_x^2\varphi - \frac{U_y^2}{2}\partial_y^2\varphi - \frac{U_z^2}{2}\partial_z^2\varphi = \frac{e}{\pi}E_x \tag{27}$$

by the Maxwell equations and by the generalized Fröhlich relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
j_x &= -\frac{e}{\pi b_y b_z}\partial_t\varphi - + \frac{\kappa_{yy}}{2e}V_F\partial^3_{yyt}\varphi + \frac{\kappa_{zz}}{2e}V_F\partial^3_{zzt}\varphi,\\
\rho &= \frac{e}{\pi b_y b_z}\partial_x\varphi - + \frac{\kappa_{yy}}{2e}V_F\partial^3_{xyy}\varphi + \frac{\kappa_{zz}}{2e}V_F\partial^3_{xzz}\varphi,\\
j_y &= -\frac{\kappa_{yy}}{e}V_F\partial^3_{xyt}\varphi,\\
j_z &= -\frac{\kappa_{zz}}{e}V_F\partial^3_{xzt}\varphi.
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

As an application of our formulas (27), (28), we calculate the Hall constant of the CDW condensate at zero temperature.

Consider the Hall geometry: $H = H_z$, the transport CDW current I_x flows parallel to the chains in a film with sizes L_y, L_z. As normally $t_z << t_y$, we neglect z-dependence in Eqs.(27),(28). Perfoming the perturbation expansion over κ_{yy} in Maxwell equations, we get:

$$E_y = -2\pi\kappa_{yy}\frac{V_F}{e}\partial^2_{xy}\varphi, \quad H_z = H_0 \tag{29}$$

where H_0 is the external magnetic field which is assumed to be larger than the magnetic field of the transport current.

In sliding CDW $\varphi = \varphi(x - V_D t, y, z)$, where $V_D(E_x)$ is the nonlinear drift velocity. We get:

$$E_y = \frac{2\pi \kappa_{yy}}{e^2} \frac{V_F}{V_D} b_y b_z \frac{\partial j_x}{\partial y} \tag{30}$$

The Hall constant is:

$$R_{CDW} = \frac{\int\limits_0^{L_y} dy E_y}{c H_z I_x} = \frac{2\pi^2 \kappa_{yy}}{c e^2 H_0} \frac{V_F}{V_D(E_x)} \frac{b_y b_z}{L_z} \tag{31}$$

Hence

$$R_{CDW} I_x(E_x) = const \sim t_y^2 \sim T_C^2 \tag{32}$$

where T_C is the temperature of the Peierls transition. The r.h.s in Eq.(32) is independent of E_x which is in a good accordance with the experimental data [3].

Conclusion. We have derived for the first time the generalized Fröhlich relations which relate the transverse currents and fields to the phase gradients in a Q1D CDW-conductor. The explanation of the relation between the CDW Hall constant and the nonlinear transport current is found.

References

[1] G.Grüner, Density Waves in Solids, Addison-Wasley, Reading, Massachusets,1994.

[2] N.P.Ong, P.Monceau, Solid State Comm., **26**, 487 (1978).

[3] G.X.Tessema, N.P.Ong, Phys.Rev. **B23**, 5607 (1981).

[4] I.V. Krive, I.O. Kulik, A.S. Rozhavsky, Fiz. Nizk. Temp **12** 1123 (1986) (Sov.J. Low Temp. **12**, 635, (1986)).

[5] K. Fujikawa, Phys.Rev. **D21**, 2848 (1980).

[6] R. Roskies, F. Shaposnik, Phys.Rev. **D23**, 558 (1981).

[7] I.V. Krive, A.S. Rozhavsky, Phys. Lett. **A113** 313 (1985).

[8] Z.-B. Su, B. Sakita, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 780 (1986).

[9] S.N. Artemenko, A.N. Kruglov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **83**, 1134 (1983) (Sov. Phys. JETP).

[10] V.M.Yakovenko, H.-S. Goan, cond-mat/9804128, 13 April 1998; Phys.Rev. **B58**, 10648 (1998).